

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 40698AA3525721A28525786900563013

From: "Morin, Gary P NAE" <Gary.P.Morin@nae02.usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Anderson, Michael \ (Boston\)" <Michael.Anderson@jacobs.com>; "Pencola, Bill" <Bill.Pencola@jacobs.com>

Copy To: "Gouveia, Mark" <Mark.Gouveia@jacobs.com>

Delivered Date: 04/19/2007 09:43 AM EDT

Subject: RE: FW: Draft Conceptual Estimate

Dave - Maybe we should first start with an explanation. I'm sure we'll have more comments for them to address so we might as well have them make all the changes at once.

Mike & Bill - We performed a quick cursory review of the estimate and were wondering if you could answer a few questions we have. Our questions center on page 2 of 12 of the submittal (11 x 17 with all the different funding scenarios). The \$15M/year and \$22.5M/year funding scenario tables each have an extra column tacked on to the end. The extra column for the \$15M/yr scenario is labeled with 3.5% and "Alternative 2". The costs in this column are considerably less than the rest of the cost in this scenario. Can you provide us with an explanation of what this column represents and why the costs are so much lower. Also, the extra column on the \$22.5M/yr funding scenario is labeled with 8%. Why is this extra column tacked on? How does it relate to the extra column in the \$15M/yr scenario? We'd appreciate it if you could answer these questions. Thanks.

Gary

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2007 9:17 AM

To: Morin, Gary P NAE

Subject: Re: FW: Draft Conceptual Estimate

More importantly - should we ask JE to resubmit the draft, or provide an explanation about the apparent snafu with the right-most info boxes for the \$15m/yr and \$22.5m/yr summaries? I suspect we could just delete them (the right-most boxes) and be done with it....

"Morin, Gary P
NAE"

<Gary.P.Morin@na
e02.usace.army.m
il>
Jim Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
04/19/2007 07:12
AM

To
Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
Subject
FW: Draft Conceptual Estimate

Dave - There's reason you didn't know that you had received the CAD Alt.
#2
estimate. The reason is you never did! You weren't on the distribution
list. Sorry about that.

Gary

-----Original Message-----

From: Connor, Jackie [mailto:Jackie.Connor@jacobs.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2007 6:47 PM
To: Morin, Gary P NAE; Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE; L'Heureux, Paul G NAE;
Beaudoin, Maurice NAE
Cc: Anderson, Michael (Boston); Pencola, Bill; Rigassio-Smith, Anita;
Gouveia, Mark
Subject: Draft Conceptual Estimate

Attached is the DRAFT Conceptual Estimate-Alternative #2- CAD Cell Approach.

This PDF contains 2 11X17 tables.

When you go to the print screen from the File drop down menu there is an
option in the middle of the screen called page handling. See the attached
print screen. There are two check boxes - Auto Rotate and Center, and Choose
Paper from Source by PDF page size. Checking these boxes will allow multiple
size pages to print.

Jackie Connor

Jacobs New Bedford - 508 996-5462 ext. 200

fax: 508 996-6742

Jacobs Bourne - 508 743-0214 ext. 263

fax: 508 743-9177

=====

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

=====

[attachment "Draft Conceptual Estimate - Alternative #2 - CAD Cell Approach.pdf" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US] [attachment "print screen1.doc" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]